#### Rule WLM130: Significant transaction time was in Waiting for Timer state

# Finding:

A significant amount of the transaction response time for the service class missing its performance goal was spent in the Waiting for Timer state. This finding applies to service classes which are part of a subsystem (e.g., CICS transactions).

## Impact:

This finding has MEDIUM IMPACT or HIGH IMPACT on performance of the service class. The level of impact depends on the percent of transaction response time spent in the Waiting for Timer state.

## Logic flow:

The following rules cause this rule to be invoked:

Rule WLM104: Subsystem Service Class did not achieve average

response goal

Rule WLM105: Subsystem Service Class did not achieve percentile

response goal

**Discussion:** When CPExpert produces Rule WLM104 or Rule WLM105 to indicate that a subsystem service class did not achieve its performance goal, the logic of these rules tries to identify the cause of the delay. The cause of the delay initially is analyzed from the "served" service class view. The delays from the served service class are reported by CICS/ESA Version 4.1 or IMS Version 5 interaction with the Workload Manager, using the Workload Management Services macros<sup>1</sup>.

> CICS/ESA Version 4.1 reports two separate views of the transactions: the begin\_to\_end phase and the execution phase<sup>2</sup>.

- Begin\_to\_end phase. The begin\_to\_end phase starts when CICS/ESA Version 4.1 has classified the transaction<sup>3</sup>. This action normally is done in a CICS Terminal Owning Region (TOR).
- Execution phase. The execution phase starts when either CICS/ESA Version 4.1 or IMS Version 5 has started an application task to process

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Please refer to Section 4 of this document for more detail about the Workload Management Services macros and how the subsystems use these macros to exchange information with the Workload Manager.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>IMS Version 5 reports only *execution phase* samples.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Classifying the transaction into a service class is actually done by the Workload Manager when CICS issues the IWMCLSFY macro. Please refer to Section 4 for a more complete discussion of the subsystem work manager (e.g., CICS) interaction with the Workload Manager.

the transaction. For CICS, this normally is done in a CICS Application Owning Region (AOR).

Within each phase, CICS or IMS reports the "state" of the transaction, from the view of CICS or IMS. The state of the transaction is reported in the following categories<sup>4</sup>:

- Idle state.
- Active state.
- · Ready state.
- Wait state.
- Switched state.

If the subsystem supports work manager delay reporting, the delay information is available in the "Work Manager/Resource Manger State Section" of SMF Type 72 (Subtype 3) records. When a transaction service class fails to achieve its performance goal, CPExpert analyzes the information to identify the primary and secondary causes of delay.

The Wait state indicates that a task in support of the transaction was waiting on some activity. The Wait state is broken into several categories: waiting for lock, waiting for I/O, waiting for conversation, waiting for distributed request, waiting for a session to be established (locally, somewhere in the network, or somewhere in the sysplex), waiting for a timer, waiting for another product, or waiting for an unidentified resource.

CICS reports the time when a work unit (that is, a task in support of a transaction) was waiting for a timer to expire or for an interval control event to complete. These timer delays normally occur when an application had issued an EXEC CICS DELAY command or EXEC CICS WAIT EVENT command.

CPExpert produces Rule WLM130 when the primary or secondary cause of delay was that the transaction service class was in the Waiting for Timer state for a significant percent of its response time.

The following example illustrates the output from Rule WLM130:

Revised: April, 2002 Rule WLM130,2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Please refer to Section 4 of this document for a more comprehensive discussion of the transaction states and the interaction between the subsystem (CICS or IMS) and the Workload Manager.

RULE WLM130: SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTION TIME WAS WAITING FOR TIMER

A significant amount of the transaction response time for CICUSERA Service Class was spent waiting for a timer event or an interval control event to complete. For example, an application had issued an EXEC CICS DELAY or EXEC CICS WAIT EVENT command. If this finding occurs often, CPExpert suggests that these transactions be identified and placed into their own service class. Tasks which spend a significant amount of time waiting for timer expiration normally should not be included in a service class with response performance objectives.

**Suggestion**: If this finding occurs often, CPExpert suggests that you consider the following alternatives:

- Identify the transactions which cause the Wait for Timer delay. You should consider placing these transactions into their own service class, as it usually is inappropriate for transactions which wait for a timer to be in a service class with other transactions.
- Alternatively, you may wish to review the performance goal associated with these transactions. It is possible that the transactions have been placed into their own service class, but the performance goal associated with the service class does not adequately account for the timer delays. Since timer delays are typically an application-related function, you may wish to revise the performance goal to account for longer delays.
- Alternatively, the applications may have issued a timer delay because of the unavailability of some CICS resource. You may wish to review the application to determine the cause of the timer delay and whether the delay can be reduced.

**Reference**: CICS/ESA Version 4.1 Performance Guide

Section 2.7.1.1: The response time breakdown in percentage section

Section 2.7.1.2: The state section

CICS/TS Release 1.1 Performance Guide

Section 2.7.1.1: The response time breakdown in percentage section

Section 2.7.1.2: The state section

CICS/TS Release 1.2 Performance Guide

Section 2.7.1.1: The response time breakdown in percentage section

Section 2.7.1.2: The state section

CICS/TS Release 1.3 Performance Guide

Section 2.6.1.1: The response time breakdown in percentage section

Section 2.6.1.2: The state section

CICS/TS for z/OS Release 2.1 *Performance Guide*: Chapter 8 (Managing Workloads).

CICS/TS for z/OS Release 2.2 *Performance Guide*: Chapter 8 (Managing Workloads).